

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY IN THE EUROPEAN CAPITAL OF CULTURE CHEMNITZ

A Neurocomputational Model of Basal Ganglia-Intralaminar Nuclei Interactions: Implications for Attentional Orienting and Sense of Agency

Oliver Maith¹, Erik Syniawa¹ and Fred Hamker¹

Professorship Artificial Intelligence, Department of Computer Science, Chemnitz University of Technology, Chemnitz, Germany

Contact: fred.hamker@informatik.tu-chemnitz.de





The Active Self SPP funded by DFG

Professorship Artificial Intelligence

The Basal Ganglia - Intralaminar Nuclei Prediction Circuit

Agency:

Agency, our sense of action control, relies on predicting outcomes from internal states [1]. The cerebellum potentially enhances agency by generating forward models, processing error signals, and optimizing timing of motor and cognitive processes [2]. While the cerebellum likely plays an important role, the basal ganglia (BG) - intralaminar nuclei (ILN) circuit may even provide a simple more basic circuit relevant for agency.

BG-ILN connectivity:

Various BG and ILN regions are strongly interconnected forming loops [3]–[5]. Thalamo-striatal projections are relevant for behavioral switching, attentional shifting, and reinforcement [5]–[7]. Particularly the centromedian/parafascicular (CM/Pf) complex also projects to the subthalamic nucleus (STN), external globus pallidus



Hypotheses:

Functional

- Modulated by the branched axons from the ILN, the STN-SNr pathway learns to associate internal states with outcomes that may involve internal or external events.
- The prediction of an outcome is reflected in increased inhibition of the ILN by the SNr, which diminishes the ILN's response to the corresponding outcomes.

General

- The activity of the ILN represents a kind of prediction error. • The BG - ILN circuits contribute to the sense of agency • The BG - ILN circuits contribute to novelty detection • The BG - ILN circuits contribute to information (novelty) seeking

(GPe), and internal globus pallidus/substantia nigra pars reticulata (GPi/SNr) [4], [8]–[10], with branched axons that simultaneously target both the STN and the GPi/SNr. Additionally, the ILN responds to attention-related salient stimuli, suggesting its role in adapting behavior based on prediction errors [5], [11].

non-ILN

(SC, Cortex...) **Figure 1:** BG-ILN connectivity

Figure 2: Prediction in the BG-ILN circuit

Experimental Setup

Experimental paradigm [11]:

- Hold button is illuminated \rightarrow monkeys have to hold the illuminated button
- They have to fixate on a central LED throughout the trial
- After a random delay (500-1500 ms), one of two large LEDs (left or right) lights up as a cue
- At 100, 400, or 700 ms after the cue onset one of the two large LEDs lights up as a target
- If monkeys release the button within 500 ms after the target appearance they receive a reward
- Random intertrial interval (3-5s)

Experimental findings:

Neurons of the CM/PF complex responded to light flashes (the cues/targets) presented on the contralateral side. However, responses to target stimuli appearing on the contralateral side depended highly on the cue condition. Neurons responded to invalidly cued targets



Figure 3: Experimental paradigm. (Illustration created based on Figure 1 from [11])





Interpretation with BG-ILN prediction:

We propose that the presentation of a cue elicits an internal state, which, through task training, becomes associated with the appearance of a target on the same side via learning within the Cortex \rightarrow STN \rightarrow SNr \rightarrow CM/Pf pathway. Consequently, after training and following valid cues, CM/Pf neurons that would typically respond to the target on the cued side receive increased inhibitory input from the SNr, leading to a diminished response. In contrast, while invalid cues similarly elicit an internal state predicting a target on the cued side, the target appears on the opposite side, and the neurons responding to that side do not experience an increase in inhibition.

but responded less intensely to validly cued targets.



- inputs to the model:
- at hold, cue, and target light onsets: increase the firing rate of corresponding SC neurons for 50 ms
- after hold and cue lights: increase the firing rate of a single cortical neuron belonging to the elicited state for 200 ms (held active as response sequence)
- Synaptic plasticity in Cortex \rightarrow STN \rightarrow SNr:



Modeling Results

Firing rates of the model's neurons during the cue and target presentation (cue-target interval = 100 ms) in a single trial after learning are shown. At target onset, all three outcomes are activated in this trial. The cue 'right light' elicits the state 'cue was right' in the cortex, and in the STN and SNr, neurons associated with the outcome 'right light' exhibit increased firing rates. This illustrates the learned association 'cue was right' \rightarrow 'right light'. The CM/Pf responds to excitatory input from the SC, but only when the inhibitory input



Responses of CM/Pf neurons to cues and targets averaged over the first and last 100 trials (before and after training) of each respective category. The response to the cues does not change significantly after training. In contrast, the response to the targets depends on the cue validity after task training. The response to invalidly cued targets does not change but the response to validly cued targets decreases as in [11].

 $\tau \frac{dw}{dt} = (trace_{pre} > 0.8) \left(\mathsf{sign}(r_{pf} - base_{pf}) - \alpha \right) \left(1 - r_{post} \right)$

	100	50	0	50	100	100	200	
		tim	e from	cue o	nset [m	ıs]		
Fig	iure	7:	Firin	a ra	tes s	sinal	e trial	

from the SNr is not increased.

	References	Acknowledgements
[1] [2] [3] [4]	P. Haggard, "Sense of agency in the human brain," Nature Reviews Neuroscience, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 196–207, 2017. N. Sendhilnathan, A. C. Bostan, P. L. Strick, and M. E. Goldberg, "A cerebro-cerebellar network for learning visuomotor associations," Nature Communications, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 2519, 2024. K. K. Cover and B. N. Mathur, "Rostral Intralaminar Thalamus Engagement in Cognition and Behavior," English, Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, vol. 15, Apr. 2021, Publisher: Frontiers, ISSN: 1662-5153. DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2021.652764. E. Gonzalo-Martín, C. Alonso-Martínez, L. P. Sepúlveda, and F. Clasca, "Micropopulation mapping of the mouse parafascicular nucleus connections reveals diverse input–output motifs," English, Frontiers in Neuroanatomy, vol. 17, Jan. 2024, Publisher: Frontiers, ISSN: 1662-5129. DOI: 10.3389/fnana.2023.1305500.	This work was supported by the DFG priority program "The Active Self" HA2630/12-2.
[5] [6]	Y. Smith, A. Galvan, T. J. Ellender, et al., "The thalamostriatal system in normal and diseased states," English, <i>Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience</i> , vol. 8, Jan. 2014, Publisher: Frontiers, ISSN: 1662-5137. DOI: 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00005. K. K. Cover, U. Gyawali, W. G. Kerkhoff, et al., "Activation of the Rostral Intralaminar Thalamus Drives Reinforcement through Striatal Dopamine Release," English, <i>Cell Reports</i> , vol. 26, no. 6, 1389–1398.e3, Feb. 2019, Publisher: Elsevier, ISSN: 2211-1247. DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.044.	E Services
[7] [8]	K. K. Cover, A. G. Lieberman, M. M. Heckman, and B. N. Mathur, "The rostral intralaminar nuclear complex of the thalamus supports striatally mediated action reinforcement," <i>eLife</i> , vol. 12, J. Ding and K. M. Wassum, Eds., e83627, Apr. 2023, Publisher: eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd, ISSN: 2050-084X. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.83627. M. Castle, M. S. Aymerich, C. Sanchez-Escobar, N. Gonzalo, J. A. Obeso, and J. L. Lanciego, "Thalamic innervation of the direct and indirect basal ganglia pathways in the rat: Ipsi- and contralateral projections," en, <i>Journal of Comparative Neurology</i> , vol. 483, no. 2, pp. 143–153, 2005, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/cne.20421, ISSN: 1096-9861. DOI: 10.1002/cne.20421.	
[9]	T. Kita, N. Shigematsu, and H. Kita, "Intralaminar and tectal projections to the subthalamus in the rat," en, European Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 2899–2908, 2016, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/ejn.13413, ISSN: 1460-9568. DOI: 10.1111/ejn.13413.	
[10]	M. Hanini-Daoud, F. Jaouen, P. Salin, L. Kerkerian-Le Goff, and N. Maurice, "Processing of information from the parafascicular nucleus of the thalamus through the basal ganglia," en, Journal of Neuroscience Research, vol. 100, no. 6, pp. 1370–1385, 2022, _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jnr.25046, ISSN: 1097-4547. DOI: 10.1002/jnr.25046.	
[11]	T. Minamimoto and M. Kimura, "Participation of the Thalamic CM-Pf Complex in Attentional Orienting," Journal of Neurophysiology, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 3090–3101, Jun. 2002, Publisher: American Physiological Society, ISSN: 0022-3077. DOI: 10.1152/jn.2002.87.6.3090.	
[12]	J. Vitay, H. Dinkelbach, and F. Hamker, "ANNarchy: A code generation approach to neural simulations on parallel hardware," Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, vol. 9, no. 19, pp. 1–20, 2015. DOI: 10.3389/fninf.2015.00019.	